

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/021,797	.12/11/2001	Gholam-Reza Zadno-Azizi	VGEN.006A	2037
20995 . 7	7590 05/27/2005	•	EXAMINER	
KNOBBE MARTENS OLSON & BEAR LLP			GHERBI, SUZETTE JAIME J	
2040 MAIN ST FOURTEENT	-		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
IRVINE, CA 92614			3738	
			DATE MAILED: 05/27/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	' IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	(S) FROM				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	Suzette J Gherbi ears on the cover sheet with the c	3738 correspondence address (S) FROM				
Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	(S) FROM				
Period for Reply	' IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH	(S) FROM				
A CHORTENED CTATHTODY DEDICE FOR DEDICE						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period we railure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ys will be considered timely. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status		•				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ma	ay 2004.					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This	action is non-final.					
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
 4) Claim(s) 3-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) 3 and 5-17 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 4 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or 						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	·.	-				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	•	•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F					

Application/Control Number: 10/021,797 Page 2

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Laguette et al. 6,478,821 in view of Moriya et al. 4,929,289. Laguette et al. discloses an IOL having a first optic 112 and a pair of elongate members 18 comprised of shape memory alloy, nitinol (col. 6, line 53). However Laguette et al. does not specify an iron based shape memory alloy. Moriay teaches (with respect to col. 1,lines 15-34) that shape memory alloys are alloys which exhibit temperature transition. Among the known alloys are those such as nitinol which can be used in the manufacturing of medical equipment (col. 1,line 39). Moriya et al. further teaches that non-ferrous shape memory alloys are expensive and under economic restrictions, thus, in view of these circumstances, iron-based shape memory alloys, available at lower cost, are being developed as an alternative (col. 1, lines 44-48). Therefore in view of the teachings, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Laguette's device by substituting for their shape memory alloys an iron-based shape memory alloy as a lower cost alternative.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 3, 5-17 is allowed.

Application/Control Number: 10/021,797

Art Unit: 3738

Conclusion

Page 3

3. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Mori 4,780,154 also teaches than known Ti-Ni. Based shape memory alloys can be replaced by Fe-based shape memory alloys (abstract), such alloys being utilized in the field of medical devices (col. 1, line 31)

- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Suzette J. Jackson whose work schedule is Monday-Friday 9-6:30 off every other Friday and whose telephone number is 571-272-4751.
- 5. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306.
- 6. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0858.

Suzette J-J Gherbi

23 May 2005